Clinical efficacy of the modified *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy for *Helicobacter pylori*-negative gastric mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma: a meta analysis
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Abstract

**Background:** *Helicobacter pylori* (HP) has been considered to be one of the primary causes of gastric mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma since 1993. Low-grade gastric MALT lymphoma with HP is widely treated with HP eradication therapy, according to each specific clinical situation. However, several studies and guidelines indicate that the modified HP eradication therapy is also valid for HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma. The aim of this study was to perform a meta-analysis of the clinical efficacy of the modified HP eradication therapy for gastric MALT lymphoma without HP.

**Methods:** We searched studies that reported the response rate of the modified HP eradication therapy regimen for gastric MALT lymphoma without HP by using PubMed, Medline, and Ebsco from January 1971 until February 2019. All statistical analyses were carried out using R 3.5.3 (Mathsoft Company, Cambridge, MA, USA). The pooled response rate was expressed as a decimal. The heterogeneity test was performed using the I² statistic.

**Results:** A total of 14 studies were selected with a total of 148 patients with HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma. The overall pooled response rate was 0.38 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.29–0.47). The combined estimate is I² = 57% (P < 0.01). The study subjects were categorized by factors (area of patients). The pooled response rate of the sub-groups (Korea, Japan, China, and Western countries) was 0.63 (95% CI: 0.50–0.76), 0.16 (95% CI: 0.05–0.30), 0.38 (95% CI: 0.20–0.55), and 0.37 (95% CI: 0.08–1.00). The response rate showed that the modified HP eradication therapy was effective for patients with HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma, especially in Korea and Western countries.

**Conclusion:** Therefore, the modified HP eradication therapy can be considered an optional therapy for patients with low-grade HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma. However, several limitations were revealed in the meta-analysis. Further systematic reviews and research are required.
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Introduction

Extra-nodal lymphoma refers to a malignant tumor of the lymphoid tissue or lymphocytes other than lymph nodes. The stomach is the most preferred site, in extra-nodal lymphoid tissue or lymphocytes other than lymph nodes. Extra-nodal lymphoma refers to a malignant tumor of the lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma.¹ Helicobacter pylori (HP) is one of the most common infectious agents in the world,² and has been considered by Hussell et al³ and Wotherspoon et al⁴ to be one of the primary causes of gastric MALT lymphoma since 1993. Several studies have also shown that HP eradication therapy for gastric MALT lymphoma is indispensable.⁵ Moreover, HP eradication therapy is preferred for low-grade (I-II) HP-positive gastric MALT lymphoma.⁶⁻⁸ Although HP eradication therapy is recommended to be administered to all gastric MALT lymphoma, it is not widely used clinically.⁹

In comparison, HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma is more likely to invade the submucosal layer,¹⁰ there are increased challenges associated with treatment and the higher non-response rate against HP eradication therapy since the patients are HP-negative. Radiotherapy or rituximab is preferred according to the guidelines for HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma, regardless of the stage.⁸,¹¹ In addition, if the preferred therapy fails, treatment with either monoclonal antibodies alone or combined with chemotherapy is also an option¹¹;
however, it has been well-established that radiation therapy can lead to radiation gastroenteritis and gastrointestinal perforation, hemorrhage, and other complications. Chemotherapy can also lead to bone marrow depression, gastrointestinal symptoms, and allergic reactions. In addition, monotherapy can cause allergic reactions, angioedema, and pain at the tumor site, a temporary drop in blood pressure, bronchospasm, and progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. It has been well-established that HP eradication therapy is associated with minimal side effects.

HP eradication therapy can be divided into triple and quadruple therapy. The current Guidelines indicate that quadruple therapy with bismuth can have a higher HP eradication rate, namely proton pump inhibitor (PPI) + bismuth + two antibiotics (eg, metronidazole, clarithromycin, amoxicillin, and tetracycline). Of course, some experts believe that quadruple therapy can consist of quadruple therapy without bismuth, namely PPI + amoxicillin + clarithromycin + metronidazole, however, it has not yet reached widespread recognition.

Some articles have shown that the HP eradication therapy exhibits significantly superior efficacy against HP-positive gastric MALT lymphoma compared with HP-negative MALT lymphoma; however, there was no statistical difference between the two groups.[14] Moreover, the clinical efficacy of the modified HP eradication therapy for HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma remains inconclusive. Thus, novel data demonstrating the efficacy of the modified HP eradication therapy for HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma is required. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the concrete response rate of the modified HP eradication therapy for HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma.

Methods

Search strategy

The meta-analysis was managed by searching databases, including PubMed, Medline, and Ebso from January 1971 to February 2019. The search terms consisted of “gastric mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma,” “gastric MALT lymphoma and HP,” “Gastric MALT lymphoma,” and “Helicobacter pylori.” We modified the search terms to satisfy each database.

Study selection

Studies were included if they satisfied the following criteria: (1) studies investigating the clinical efficacy of the modified HP eradication therapy for patients with HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma; (2) studies only published in English; (3) studies in full-text format; and (4) studies providing concrete data. The excluded criteria were as follows: (1) case reports, review articles, and letters; (2) patients who used the modified HP eradication therapy and other treatments simultaneously; (3) ineligible research objects (eg, animals, children, and HP-positive patients); (4) insufficient reported data; and (5) duplicated data.

Data extraction and quality assessment

The data were independently extracted by two authors (Xie YL and Guan WJ) using a standard data extraction form. The extracted data from included study characteristics (first author, year of publication, time interval of patients, treatment regimen, follow-up duration and sample size and patient information (eg, median age, stage, and status of t[11;18] [q21;q21]).

The study quality was individually assessed by two authors (Xie YL and Guan WJ) using the Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale (NOS). The NOS is recommended by the Cochrane Collaboration for a quality evaluation of the non-randomized studies.[15,16] A score of ≥6 points on the NOS scale was considered to be high quality.

Statistical analysis

R 3.5.3 was used to perform all statistical analyses (Mathsoft Company, Cambridge, MA, USA). A Freeman-Tukey double anti-sinusoidal transformation or untransformation method was used to combine the response rate. The pooled response rate was expressed as a decimal. The heterogeneity test was first performed using the I-squared ($I^2$) statistic. Heterogeneity was divided into four categories (very low, low, moderate, and high), with the corresponding $I^2$ of $I^2 < 25\%$, $25\% < I^2 < 50\%$, $50\% < I^2 < 75\%$, and $I^2 > 75\%$, respectively. A sub-group analysis was performed to analyze the sources of heterogeneity if there was moderate or high heterogeneity. The association between the clinical efficacy and patients’ information was assessed using a sub-group analysis. Moreover, using a fixed effect model, a $P < 0.05$ indicated that there was a homogeneous inclusion of the studies. Conversely, using a random effect model, a $P < 0.05$ indicated that the studies were heterogeneous. An Egger test was used to assess any potential publication bias. $P > 0.05$ in the Egger test was considered to indicate no publication bias.

Results

Selection and summary of studies

Finally, 14 out of 2419 studies reporting the clinical efficacy of the modified HP eradication therapy in HP-negative patients with gastric MALT lymphoma were considered to be qualified for inclusion in the meta-analysis.[10,14,17-28] The majority of the responding patients had low-grade gastric MALT lymphoma. A total of 62 studies were identified after the initial screening. We excluded 48 out of 62 studies because they satisfied the exclusion criteria: two presented duplicated data; 14 did not provide concrete data; 14 were case reports; nine were reviews; two were editorials; one was a letter; one researched ineligible subjects; one merged with other therapies; and four were not written in English. The general characteristics of the included studies are summarized in Table 1. The included studies were cohort studies reported from 2000 to 2017, which totaled 148 patients. The follow-up duration ranged from 0 to 20.5 years. The majority of the included patients had low-grade gastric MALT lymphoma. The majority of the included studies
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Time interval</th>
<th>Responding patients, n</th>
<th>Patients receiving therapy, n</th>
<th>Mean age (year)</th>
<th>Disease stage, n</th>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th>Follow-up, median (range)</th>
<th>Status of t(11;18) (q21;q21), n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shotaro Nakamura 2006[17]</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>1993–2005</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>I, II</td>
<td>PPI (omeprazole, lansoprazole, or rabeprazole) + antibiotics (clarithromycin and amoxicillin with or without metronidazole)</td>
<td>38 (3–120) months</td>
<td>Positive, 6; Negative, 2; Unknown, 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsuneya Nakamura 2000[18]</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>1993–1998</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>IE, IIE</td>
<td>Roxithromycin (300 mg bid) + omeprazole (20 mg qd) for 2 weeks + clarithromycin (200 mg bid) + metronidazole (500 mg bid) for 1 week + omeprazole (20 mg qd) for 2 weeks + clarithromycin (200 mg bid) + amoxicillin (500 mg tid) + omeprazole or lansoprazole (20 mg or 30 mg qd) for 2 weeks</td>
<td>18 (3–53) month</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiji Akamatsu 2006[19]</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>1993–2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>EI, EI2, EII1, EII2</td>
<td>Lansoprazole (30 mg bid) + clarithromycin (400 mg bid) + amoxicillin (750 mg bid) for 7 days</td>
<td>38 (9–81) months</td>
<td>Positive, 3; Negative, 5; Unknown, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M Raderer 2006[20]</td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>2003–2005</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>I, 6</td>
<td>Clarithromycin (500 mg bid) + metronidazole (500 mg bid) for 7 days, pantoprazole (40 mg bid) during the observation period (a minimum of 12 months)</td>
<td>0–19 months</td>
<td>Positive, 1; Negative, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiho Terai 2008[21]</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>1995–2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>IE, IIE-1</td>
<td>PPI + antibiotics (1500 mg/day amoxicillin + 800 mg/day clarithromycin or 1000 mg/day metronidazole)</td>
<td>46 (12–108) months</td>
<td>Positive, 3; Negative, 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomonori Sumida 2009[22]</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>1997–2007</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>1 or II1, unclear</td>
<td>Lansoprazole (60 mg/day, bid) or omeprazole (40 mg/day, bid) + clarithromycin (400 mg/day, bid) + amoxicillin (1500 mg/day, bid) for 7 days</td>
<td>40 (13–135) months</td>
<td>Positive, 4; Negative, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naoki Asano 2012[23]</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>1995–2012</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>I, 15; II1, 2</td>
<td>Lansoprazole (60 mg/day) + clarithromycin (800 mg/day) + amoxicillin (1500 mg/day) for 7 days</td>
<td>5.8 (1.0–12.7) years</td>
<td>Positive, 7; Negative, 4; Unknown, 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
considered that an HP infection was considered negative only when all HP tests were negative. Moreover, some articles defined response as complete remission, partial remission, or histologic residual disease, while only complete remission is defined as a response. We extracted data for t(11;18)(q21;q21) in the included studies. This shows that patients displaying t(11;18)(q21;q21) seldom respond to the modified HP eradication therapy. The details regarding the study quality are presented in Table 2. All of the included studies were of high quality.

Pooled analysis of the efficacy of the modified HP eradication therapy in HP-negative patients with gastric MALT lymphoma

A Freeman-Tukey double anti-sinusoidal transformation was used to perform a pooled analysis of the 14 studies. The response rate of the modified HP eradication therapy for HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma was 0.38 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.29–0.47) [Figure 1]. There was a significant heterogeneity in the 14 included studies (I² = 57%; P < 0.01). The results of the Egger test indicated that there was no publication bias (P = 0.69) [Figure 2].

Sub-group analysis of the efficacy of the modified HP eradication therapy in patients with HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma

We recognized that the pooled analysis for the efficacy of the modified HP eradication therapy in patients with HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma displayed moderate heterogeneity. Therefore, a sub-group analysis was performed based on the area of the included patients. The sources of heterogeneity were analyzed using a sub-group analysis. We divided groups into both Asian (Korea, Japan, and China) and Western countries.

Except for the Freeman-Tukey double anti-sinusoidal transformation method used in Japan, all of the other
groups used an un-transformation method to perform the efficacy in all sub-group analyses [Figures 3 and 4]. The pooled response rate of the modified HP eradication therapy in HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma in the sub-group was higher than that in the pooled analysis, except for in Japan (0.16; 95% CI: 0.05–0.30). The pooled response rate of other sub-groups (Korea, China, and Western countries) was 0.63 (95% CI: 0.30–0.76), 0.38 (95% CI: 0.20–0.53), and 0.57 (95% CI: 0.08–1.00), respectively. There was extremely low heterogeneity in the sub-groups, except for that in the Western countries ($I^2 = 85\%$; $P < 0.01$) and Korea ($I^2 = 51\%; P = 0.11$). The results of the Egger test in the sub-group indicated that there was no publication bias ($P > 0.05$). Moreover, China and Western countries could not perform an Egger test because relatively few studies were included.

### Tables

#### Table 2: Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale of the quality of the included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Representativeness of exposure group</th>
<th>Selection of the non-exposed cohort</th>
<th>Ascertainment of exposure</th>
<th>Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at start of study</th>
<th>Comparability of the design or analysis†</th>
<th>Outcome assessment</th>
<th>Follow-up long enough for outcomes to occur</th>
<th>Adequacy of follow up</th>
<th>Score‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shotaro Nakamura[17]</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>∗∗∗</td>
<td>∗∗∗</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsuneya Nakamura[18]</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiji Akamatsu[19]</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M Raderer[20]</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shio Terai[21]</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomonori Sumida[22]</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoon Jin Choi[23]</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naoki Asano[24]</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ju Seok Kim[26]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XIAOWU LI[27]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joana Moleiro[28]</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eun Jeong Gong[29]</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>∗</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A study can be awarded a maximum of one star for each numbered item within selection and outcome categories. †A study can be awarded a maximum of two stars given for comparability. ‡One star represents one point. NA: Not available.

Figure 1: Forest plot showing the overall pooled response rate of the modified HP eradication therapy for HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma. The individual response rate of the studies is indicated by a square, with the size of the square is proportional to the weight given to the study. The lines indicate the 95% confidence interval of the studies. The diamonds denote the pooled response rate. HP: Helicobacter pylori; MALT: Mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue.
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MALT lymphoma, an influential analysis was performed. The influential analysis showed that there was only one study that had a substantial impact on heterogeneity.\textsuperscript{[10]} This study may be the source of heterogeneity in the analysis. In comparison to the remaining studies, the response rate in the study by Tomonori Sumida was 0.\textsuperscript{[10]} Moreover, Tomonori Sumida \textit{et al}.\textsuperscript{[10]} defined the therapy a failure if two consecutive histological examinations of the gastric mucosa indicate no improvement. This suggests that the study by Tomonori Sumida \textit{et al}.\textsuperscript{[10]} may have had insufficient data and a different follow-up duration. Heterogeneity may be derived from a small sample size, which does not exclude different follow-up durations, countries, criteria for HP infection and remission, or the medicine and duration of the modified HP eradication therapy.

\textbf{Interpretation of the results}

The results of the meta-analysis revealed that the modified HP eradication therapy is useful for low-grade HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma. The possible reasons for the efficacy of the modified HP eradication therapy for HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma requires further exploration.

\textbf{False negatives in the HP detection methods}

Currently, HP detection methods are classified as either invasive or non-invasive. Invasive detection has the advantages of a high level of sensitivity and accuracy.\textsuperscript{[30]} However, it is difficult to perform invasive testing, and there is a certain possibility that HP infection sites cannot be obtained through detection, resulting in HP false negatives. Non-invasive detection is convenient and rapid\textsuperscript{[31]}; however, the sensitivity and accuracy are lower compared to invasive detection, and susceptible to various environmental factors (eg, urea breath test and the history of taking anti-secretion drugs, such as PPI and H2 receptor inhibitors). The first symptoms of most gastric MALT lymphoma are gastrointestinal symptoms, and the patients are generally advised to take anti-secretion drugs before the biopsy. However, anti-secretion drugs will lead to false negatives in many test methods, including histological examinations.\textsuperscript{[32]} Therefore, in the case of the detection of HP false negatives, the HP eradication therapy regimen can act on existing HP to treat gastric MALT lymphoma.
Special mechanism of action of antibiotics

Antibiotics are indispensable in the HP eradication therapy regimen, with clarithromycin being used more frequently.[33] Both clarithromycin and azithromycin are macrolides, which can induce the apoptosis of activated lymphocytes by inhibiting BCL-XL expression.[34] In CD4+ T cells, azithromycin can effectively inhibit cellular proliferation and cytokine secretion by down-regulating the activity of the target protein of rapamycin in mammals. The above immunosuppressive effect was also observed when the concentration of clarithromycin was high (40 mg/L).[35] With a high dose of clarithromycin (2 g per day for 14 days), the CR rates in patients with relapsed or refractory extra-nodal MALT lymphoma can reach 26.9%.[36] In summary, clarithromycin exhibits a direct anti-tumor or immunomodulatory effect.

Presence of other pathogens

The pathogenesis of extra-nodal MALT lymphoma is unclear and may be related to infectious factors through chronic inflammation of the stomach. Therefore, the active eradication of pathogenic pathogens is considered to play an important role in disease mitigation.[37] Studies have reported HP-negative but Helicobacter heilmannii-positive gastric MALT lymphoma.[38,39] Moreover, Takuma Oka-mura et al.[39] treated one case of H. heilmannii-positive gastric MALT lymphoma with treatment similar to HP eradication therapy (10 mg rabeprazole, 750 mg amoxicillin, 400 mg clarithromycin twice daily for 7 days) and reached complete remission. In addition, H. heilmannii was also confirmed to have no histological evidence. Moreover, studies have confirmed that H. heilmannii can indeed cause gastric MALT lymphoma in rats.[40]

Helicobacter suis is the most common Helicobacter species in the human stomach other than HP, which causes disease by altering mucin composition and glycosylation.[41,42] H. suis may also cause gastric MALT lymphoma.[41] Since H. suis has lower urease activity, partial detections are less sensitive to H. suis detection (eg, breath test). Therefore, there is no definitive research showing that H. suis-positive gastric MALT lymphoma can achieve remission by treatment similar to HP eradication therapy. However, studies suggest that metronidazole is effective for H. suis, especially when clarithromycin-containing therapy is unsuccessful.[42]

Gene trans-location

Many recent studies have reported that if the gene for MALT lymphoma is trans-located, the resistance to antibiotic therapy is higher, which may lead to poor efficacy of the modified HP eradication therapy and a higher recurrence rate.[19-21,23,28] Tomonori Sumida et al.[10] showed that the positive rate of API2-MALT1 gene expression in HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma (44.4%) was substantially higher than that of HP-positive
gastric MALT lymphoma (5.26%). The result of t(11;18) (q21;q21) is the API2-MALT1 gene. Moreover, the translocated gene includes t(11;18)(q21;q21), t(1;14)(p22;q32), t (14;18)(q32;q21), t(3;14)(q27;q32), and t(3;14)(p14.1; q32). Most of these gene trans-locations lead to fusion of the related gene, resulting in BCL10 over-expression, which leads to cell transformation and facilitates tumor B cell survival. However, studies have shown that HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma with t(11;18)(q21;q21) positive responds to the modified HP eradication therapy. If the gene trans-location is negative, the patients with HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma will have a higher response rate to the modified HP eradication therapy.

State of chemokine receptors

Chemokine receptors mediate the immigration, activation, and enhancement of lymphocytes through binding to their ligand, and their expression is differentially regulated in each lymphocyte subset. CXCR3 is a chemokine receptor that consists of activated T cells and is expressed on B lymphocytes in MALT lymphoma. Studies have shown that CXCR3 expression is a predictive factor for non-responsiveness to the HP eradication therapy to gastric MALT lymphoma. This has implied that CXCR3-positive tumors are less sensitive to the HP eradication therapy regimen compared to that of CXCR3-negative tumors. In HP-negative patients with CXCR3-negative tumors are likely to respond to the HP eradication therapy regimen, similar to genetic translocation.

Implications of the meta-analysis

Gastric MALT lymphoma is known to be an indolent lymphoma that develops slowly and even cases of spontaneous remission exist. Conservative medical therapy for HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma will not delay treatment, can make patients obtain cheaper, more effective therapy, and can ensure a better quality of life; however, the specific allowable follow-up time still needs to be studied. Studies have shown that the follow-up time to achieve histological remission be delayed by more than 30 months after administering the HP eradication therapy. Studies have also shown that with the extension of the follow-up period, there will be a significant increase in the remission rate. Moreover, Wundish et al. reported that the histological remission rate at a follow-up period of three months and 1 year was 61% and 88%, respectively. Currently, the maximum allowable follow-up period is inconclusive. Therefore, if no disease progression is identified during the follow-up period, the follow-up time can be extended to 1 year before deciding to undergo additional therapy.

Due to the above-mentioned causes, clarithromycin can even be changed to azithromycin, which has a longer half-life. To avoid the occurrence of false negatives, based on the history of taking anti-secretion drugs, HP-negative diagnostic indicators should be determined to be negative for multiple reasons, including both invasive and non-invasive tests. Since other pathogenic bacteria are not easily detected using existing HP detection methods, if HP is definitely diagnosed as negative, infection with other pathogenic bacteria should be considered to provide a basis for conservative treatment and a tendency for antibiotic selection. The relationship between the response rate of the HP eradication therapy regimen for HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma and gene translocation must be further explored and studied. Before the protocol, genetic testing for determining the presence or absence of a gene translocation may provide new clues for the cure of MALT lymphoma. Chemokine receptors also affect the efficacy of the HP eradication therapy for HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma. In addition, studies have shown that chemokine receptors can be used for targeted therapy and diagnosis. Similar to gene translocation, altering the status of chemokine receptors can enhance the efficacy of the HP eradication therapy regimen for HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma. Unlike gene translocation, there are fewer studies that have investigated chemokine receptors. In addition to CXCR3, many chemokine receptors are associated with the migration of lymphoma. Therefore, more comprehensive studies are required to research the follow-up time, gene translocation, chemokine receptors, and antibiotic selection.

Limitations

The limitations of the meta-analysis include: (1) the small sample size due to the limited number of patients in each of the included studies; (2) the possibility of selection bias; (3) different diagnostic criteria, evaluation criteria of the therapy efficacy, and follow-up duration; (4) high heterogeneity in the sub-group analysis of the Western countries; and (5) ignoring many influencing factors, including the age of patients, diseased part, lesion depth, and type of antibiotics. Thus, these limitations limit the reliability of the results.

Conclusion

The meta-analysis showed that the modified HP eradication therapy regimen is effective for patients with low grade HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma, especially in Western countries and Korea. Based on the meta-analysis results, the HP eradication therapy or other therapy, including PPI and antibiotics (eg, one of clarithromycin, metronidazole, tetracycline, and amoxicillin) can be used as an optional therapy for low grade HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma. However, the sample size of the included studies was small, and the characteristics of the patients with HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma were incomplete. Further clinical and laboratory research is required to explore the efficacy of the modified HP eradication therapy in patients with low grade HP-negative gastric MALT lymphoma.
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